

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Examples of the Comment of the Comments of the Comments

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,797		05/11/2001	Steven M. Ruben	PZ003P2	5177
22195	7590	09/22/2003			
		SCIENCES INC	EXAMINER		
9410 KEY WEST AVENUE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850				HORLICK, K	ENNETH R
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/852,797	RUBEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kenneth R Horlick	1637	
Pı	The MAILING DATE of this communication a riod for Reply	ppears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence addre)SS
•	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	DIVIS SET TO EVOIDE	1 MONTH(S) EDOM	
Sta	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relified in No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, managery by within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) to the country of the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nunication.
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2		This action is non-final.		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the r C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
DIS	sposition of Claims	.		
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.		
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	8) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Αp	plication Papers			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
1	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
1	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in i	reply to this Office action.		
1	(2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		•
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
1	3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received i	n Application No	
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ıge
14	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		plication).
	a) The translation of the foreign language p 5) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application ha	s been received.	. ,
	chment(s)	,	50	
1) [2) [3) [= ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-18	

Application/Control Number: 09/852,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, 14, 15 and 21, drawn to nucleic acids, vectors, host cells and methods of making a polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1 and class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1 and 325, for example.
- II. Claims 11-12 and 16, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.
- IV. Claim 17 (partial), drawn to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition by administering a polynucleotide, classified in class 514, subclass 44, for example.
- V. Claim 17 (partial), drawn to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating a medical condition by administering a polypeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
- VI. Claim 18, drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological condition by determining the presence or absence of a mutation in a polynucleotide, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3, for example.
- VII. Claim 19, drawn to drawn to a method of diagnosing a pathological condition by determining the presence or amount of expression of a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1, for example.

- VIII. Claim 20, drawn to a method of identifying a binding partner, classified in class 436, subclass 501, for example.
- IX. Claim 22, drawn to a method of identifying an activity in an assay, classified in class 435, subclass 4, for example.
- X. Claim 23, drawn to a compound of unidentified constitution, class undeterminable, and subclass undeterminable.
- 2. The claims of Group I-X are drawn to a multitude of nucleic acids (SEQ ID NO: X), polypeptides (SEQ ID NO: Y), antibodies thereto and methods which use these compounds. Each of the different nucleic acids, polypeptides, antibodies and methods of use are independent and distinct because no common structural or functional properties are shared. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121.

Upon election of one of Groups I-X, Applicant is additionally required to elect a **single** nucleic acid, polypeptide, or antibody. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each of the compounds is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitutes an independent and patentably distinct invention.

- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A) The inventions of Groups I, II and III are patentably distinct because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid

of Group I is composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group II is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). The antibody of Group III is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a very specific tertiary structure that allows that antibody to specifically bind to particular regions, i.e., epitopes, of the encoded polypeptide. Further, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2 light chains and 2 heavy chains) associated via disulfide bonds into a Yshaped symmetric dimer. Furthermore, the products of Groups I, II and III can be used in materially different processes, for example, the DNA of Group I can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of Group III can be used in immunoassay, the polypeptide of Group II can be used to make fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of Groups I, II and Ill are patentably distinct from each other. (See MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01, unrelated inventions)

B) Inventions (I-III) and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects.

(MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are

directed to chemically different compounds, which can be made and used without each other. The structure of the compound of Group X is not defined, however, it would appear that it lacks a common utility, which is based upon a common special technical feature (structure), which is disclosed as being responsible for the common utility.

- C) Inventions II and (V, VII and VIII) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of Group II could be used in an entirely different manner, such as in a method of making antibodies rather than in the methods of Groups V, VII, and VIII.
- D) Inventions I and (V, VII and VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the DNA Group I is not required for the methods of Groups (V, VII and VIII).
- E) Inventions I and (IV, VI, and IX) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially

different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the DNA of Group I could be used in an entirely different method, such as in the recombinant production of the polypeptide rather than in the methods of Groups (IV, VI, and IX).

- F) Inventions II and (IV, VI, and IX) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the polypeptide of Group II is not required for the methods of Groups (IV, VI, and IX).
- G) Inventions III and (IV- IX) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not required one for the other in that the antibody of Group III is not required for the methods of Groups (IV-VI and VIII-IX).
- H) Inventions IV-IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to methods, which have different method steps, starting materials and goals.

- I) Inventions (IV-IX) and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects.

 (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to methods (Groups IV-IX) which do not require the compound of Group X and which are not disclosed as capable of use together.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a different status in the art as demonstrated by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because inventions I-X require different searches that are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 09/852,797 Page 8

Art Unit: 1637

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R Horlick whose telephone number is 703-308-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

New HA, While II. D. Kenneth R Horlick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

09/09/03